

**INFORMATION SHEET**

**DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE,  
INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID  
WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

**DISTRICT OFFICE:** Detroit District March 14, 2006

**FILE NUMBER:** 06-145-019-0

**PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: 01-MAR-2006**      **In the office**      **N**      **Date:**  
(Y/N)

**At the project site**      **Y**      **Date:** March 1,  
(Y/N)      2006

**PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:**

State: Indiana  
 County: Lake  
 Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitude coordinates: lat:41-22-51.2400 lon:87-27-25.6320  
 Approximate size of site/property (including uplands) in acres: 20 acres  
 Name of waterway or watershed: Cedar Lake

Type of Aquatic Resource <sup>1</sup> :	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Feet	Unknown
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands		X							
Slough									
Prairie Pothole									
Wet Meadow									
Playa Lake									
Vernal Pool									
Natural Pond									
Other Water (identify type)									

<sup>1</sup>Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors <sup>1</sup>	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment		
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able to Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?			X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				X	
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X	
<sup>1</sup> Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.					

**TYPE OF DETERMINATION:**            **Approved X**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD** (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Wetland Areas 1, 2, and 3, contained no noticeable surface connection to waters of the United States. Each wetland was considered hydrologically isolated by their elevation on the landscape. All surrounding ground was at a visibly higher elevation (separating the wetlands from the nearest surface swale/ ditch). An intermittent drainage way was located to the southeast of the site approximately 70 yards from the nearest portion of wetland Area 1. However, the elevation of terrain between the wetland and ditch would not allow for a discernable connection.