



# **Buffalo Harbor, NY**

## Harbor Features

 Located on Lake Erie in the city of Buffalo, Erie County, New York
Authorization: River & Harbor Acts of 1826, 1866, 1874, 1896, 1899, 1900, 1902, 1907, 1909, 1910, 1912, 1919, 1927, 1930, 1935, 1945, 1960, 1962 and Water Resources Development Acts (WRDA) of 1986, 1988 and 2007

Deep draft commercial harbor

Authorized depths are 23-30 feet in the outer harbor and 22 feet in the river

➤ 127<sup>th</sup> leading U.S. port with 1,298,279 tons of material shipped or received in 2010

➢ Buffalo Harbor is ranked 29<sup>th</sup> among the Great Lakes Ports

Over 4.5 miles of breakwater structures

➢ 5.5 miles of Federal channel on the Buffalo River

 A confined disposal facility (CDF) is located adjacent to the south entrance channel
Major stakeholders include the Port of Buffalo, U.S. Coast Guard, General Mills, Exxon-Mobil, Lafarge Cement and Founders Supplies, Incorporated

# **Project Requirements**

Approximately 140,000 cubic yards of material must be dredged every 2 years. The harbor was last dredged in 2011-2012 when approximately 556,000 CY of material was removed. This project included the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) funded removal of 452,000 CY of backlog dredged material from the Federal channel



 $\geq$ Under the Great Lakes Legacy Act (GLLA) USEPA plans to do environmental dredging of approximately 500,000 cubic yards outside the Federal Channel. The GLLA project will follow the GLRI-funded project. A goal of the project is for future year Buffalo River dredging to be suitable for placement in the open lake, resulting in reduced O&M costs for the Buffalo Harbor in addition to significant environmental benefits  $\geq$ Deteriorated sections of the South Breakwater and CDF #4 require repairs to restore proper function of the structures  $\geq$ Critical requirements include

maintenance dredging, CDF #4 repairs and repairs to the North and South Breakwaters

#### **Consequences of Not Maintaining the Project**

➢ Failure to dredge will result in continued shoaling and reduced channel dimensions; resulting in light loading, increased transportation costs and unsafe navigation conditions

Light loading; losses of between 1 and 2 feet of channel depth would result in increased transportation costs of between \$127,000 and \$418,000 annually

Reduction of bulk commodities that pass through the harbor and generate \$44M annually in direct revenue while supporting over 301 jobs and generating over \$16M per year in personal income

➢ If the harbor was closed to commercial traffic, commodities would have to be transported by rail and truck. This would increase annual emission rates by over 23,812 tons of harmful particulate matter (PM-10) and

increase costs by \$189,000 due to increased railroad related accidents, and \$2,222,000 due to increased trucking related accidents

➢ Failure to repair the deteriorated structures will result in the continued degradation, increased future maintenance costs and unsafe navigation conditions within the harbor leading to vessel delays and potential damage to shoreline structures

 Potential functional loss of Critical Harbor of Refuge

## **Transportation Importance**

Major receiving and shipping port on the Great Lakes

Critical Harbor of Refuge

Commodities shipped or received include aggregates, limestone, salt, grain, cement, and ores and minerals

| Work Package  | GLRI Funds | FY11<br>Requirement | FY11<br>Work Plan | FY12<br>Requirement | FY12<br>Appropriation | FY13<br>Requirement | FY13<br>President's<br>Budget |
|---|------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Project Conditions Survey                               |            | 90                  | 115               | 115                 |                       | 115                 |                               |
| Maintenance Dredging                                    | 5,270      | 1,075               | 942               |                     |                       | 1,425               |                               |
| Interim Repair CDF #4                                   | 3,435      |                     | 30                |                     |                       | 178                 |                               |
| Dredging in Support of GLLA                             |            |                     |                   |                     |                       |                     |                               |
| DMMP  |            | 250                 |                   | 200                 |                       |                     |                               |
| E&D South Breakwater Repair<br>(1,800 LF)               |            | 250                 |                   | 300                 |                       |                     |                               |
| E&D North Breakwater Repair<br>(1,000 LF)               |            | 200                 |                   |                     |                       |                     |                               |
| Structure Repair – South Breakwater<br>(Floating Plant) |            |                     |                   | 900                 | 874                   |                     |                               |
| Sediment Sampling and Analysis                          |            |                     |                   | 150                 |                       |                     |                               |
| Snagging and Clearing                                   |            |                     | 64                | 50                  | 48                    |                     |                               |
| CDF ERGO Compliance (O&M<br>Manual)                     |            | 65                  |                   |                     |                       |                     |                               |
| Environmental Compliance (CDF<br>Monitoring, Field)     |            | 15                  |                   | 70                  |                       |                     |                               |
| Water Control Data Collection                           |            |                     |                   | 48                  |                       |                     |                               |
| TOTALS  | 8,705      | 1,945               | 1,151             | 1,833               | 922                   | 1,718               | 0                             |

## U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Fiscal Year (FY) 2011, 2012 and 2013 Buffalo Harbor, New York - Project Requirements and President's Budget (\$1,000)

# **Congressional Interests**

- Representative Brian Higgins D-NY-27
- Senator Charles Schumer D-NY
- Senator Kirsten Gillibrand D-NY